

THE ART OF ARTIFICE

By Edwin A. Walker

Liberal politics is the art of escalation. Escalate both sides of an issue or problem until both sides are insupportable and untenable. Then, arise from the fiasco, as the moderate moderator to be hailed as having the only feasible solution.

After getting the followers of both sides "way out" without a solution, then be the placid, generous martyr and win an election with liberal bargaining and coalition summitry.

The bigger the escalation and the more the flames are fanned, the more certain it becomes that a liberal and a liberal solution is moderate, acceptable and justified. What else?

How moderate and conservative the liberal and his solution "appears" depends only on how high the flames were fanned.

Timing the solution properly with elections and campaign propaganda issues, with New Deals, Fair Deals, New Frontiers and Great Societies, assures all that their best interests and welfare is of greatest concern even with regard to the previous fiasco.

As soon as the November 1964 election was over, the liberals began their usual performance of escalation. They proclaimed China the No. 1 enemy and the real menace. On February 7, 1965, after facing Moscow for eighteen years with a 50-billion-dollar annual defense budget, we were dropping 300 tons of bombs on North Viet Nam. This was just eighteen days after President Johnson had taken his seat in the White House, without mentioning Viet Nam. The International "Interdependent" Planners had maneuvered him successfully through a quiet election period — into an escalating military operation — warfare in Viet Nam.

Control of the nuclear button was escalated to a great campaign issue. Who controls the button? Answer — Candidate Johnson. Then, as warfare is escalated to a possible 250,000 troops in S.E. Asia (equal to another U. S. NATO Force) not a single word about the button.

The plan was to escalate China as the enemy to relieve the pressure on Russia and thus notify Europe that Russian Communists and their objectives were more acceptable to us — "mellowing." A plan, with the attending propaganda, that backs the disarmament program. It also informed Europe that NATO was a UN Police and Occupation Force and not the Defense Force it was thought to be.

By escalating the disagreements in the Sino-Soviet axis and making the Chinese the "bad" Communists, we could turn our active military operations to commitments 8,000 miles away on the other side of the globe.

Also, through the use of military forces in Viet Nam, we could extend UN influence, prestige and controls into the continent of Asia. Lodge was fitted for the job as a UN stooge and agent.

Mao Tse-tung, with his control of ¾ of a billion people, had threatened — a UN of his own. This could not be condoned or allowed to happen to the Soviet-American UN in New York City.

There has been much talk in the China-Cairo axis about a "Third World."

Chinese exploits in S.E. Asia, South America and Africa had a "Third World" scheme in operation under Peiping influence. The timing for leaking this fact to the American public was never ripe, nor did it need to know.

While policy, press and liberals have squeezed every possible drop of propaganda out of Red China being seated in the UN, no one seems to have questioned — would she come into the UN.

Since the U. S. has paid the UN bills —



GEN. EDWIN A. WALKER, dismissed from his European command because of his famed "pro-blue" troop education program (the low-down on communism to the boys) which infuriated the invisible government.

the ultimate in artifice and submission — the Soviet could have demanded and had Red China seated ever since 1956, or earlier, if she and China had agreed on the advantage to be gained. There would have been nothing to stop its fulfillment had Russia made the slightest threat of "walking out."

However, China is too smart to "walk in" without the support or control of a bloc of votes — other countries.

The escalating liberal noise about China "coming in" has turned now to the roar of military operations. We intend to challenge her waywardness and inflexible position.

De Gaulle, who evidently has no more use for the UN than I do, made the only possible move he could make when NATO's usefulness in a "defensive sort of way" had run its course — the recognition of Red China — to keep her out or make her more acceptable and influential for entry. Whether the recognition came as a pro or an anti communist move — to get her in or keep her out — the effects were the same in undercutting the UN — which could have been the primary objective in either case.

De Gaulle has virtually ignored the UN on several occasions, and the effects of his moves, both inside and outside the UN, have been devastating.

In evaluating De Gaulle's recognition of Red China, whatever the reason (and this is no defense of his actions), be completely reminded that the UN is a communist organized — communist controlled — U. S. supported "Tower," operating from U. S. soil.

De Gaulle's action was taken against the UN or, at the least, against the Soviet-U. S. axis. Why he did it, pro or anti, can well be considered an entirely different subject.

The press "forgot" to let you in on the shock, consternation and fumbling in Washington and N. Y. City (beyond the greatest stretch of imagination) when it happened. Had you been exposed to only fifty per cent of the fulminations and pain in the liberal "Establishment," you might not be left so utterly and completely in the dark regarding De Gaulle's intent as the President of France.

It is time to recall that we have the way of destroying any anti-communist movement — most recently in the Dominican Republic — and of supporting Communists like Castro and Juan Bosch.

I do not support the policies of the "Potomac Pretenders" regarding Korea, Cuba,

the Dominican Republic or Rhodesia. I do not support the policies of De Gaulle. I do not expect him to support our policy.

The UN needed an increased stature and more influence in Asia. It had to present a position of military power and a challenge to Peiping for two reasons — one, to improve its own stature — well undermined by De Gaulle (Nasser and Sukarno), and two, to establish a firmer and stronger position in Asia.

The befuddled and belabored British Government — Prime Minister Harold K. Wilson says he was following UN dictates and desires in his moves against Rhodesia. He has proclaimed the legitimate government of Rhodesia "rebels" and has commenced a subversive boycott against the country ("Rhodesia" is now Britain's "Mississippi"). France has kept her hands off — saying, "It is Britain's internal problem." Not your U. S. Government — it has joined Britain in immediate denunciation and boycott. Kennedy followed the same UN dictates at Oxford, Mississippi, that Wilson is following against the whites of Rhodesia.

Martin L. K. was needed in Viet Nam to get a truce through his friend Mao Tse-tung — then, to head Lyndon B. J.'s development program of the Mekong. With all his commUNist connections, he is probably needed now in Rhodesia. It is fertile ground, if not now, certainly soon, for his type of activities and his type of "fertilizer." I, too, am sure it would be hard for "Mississippi," "Alabama," Governor Brown and Governor Rockefeller to get along without him.

The same U. S. Army that followed him to Selma can now be sent to Africa to fight for UN control and prestige, as in Asia. Do not expect it until things have well deteriorated with infiltration, subversion and revolution — as they did in Viet Nam for at least 7 of the 11 years.

Next to the UN agent, Henry C. L., I can think of no one better prepared than "Soapy" M. W. for Ambassador and General Bruce Palmer, of Dominican Republic renown, to go into Africa with U. S. Forces, according to the military plan released by The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (headed by Joseph E. Johnson, the U. S. Delegate to the Bilderberg Conference). The military plan for attacking South Africa with 93,000 troops under UN control has already been prepared and released to the U. S. public. The plan fits the event which has come four months later. We might begin wondering: when the Prime Minister of Rhodesia, Ian Smith, is captured, who will try him — the British or the UN World Court.

The overall plan includes escalating the events on every continent — with the UN stepping into the breach and saving the day with a Police Force. This is called GLOBAL CONQUEST.

Martin has demanded that the UN step in to support his UN Revolution in the U. S. As an agent of the UN and with instructions, backing and influence coming to him from the communist and black countries within the "Tower," his demand is normal and to be expected. Military Forces went to his aid at Selma.

The UN is working through him, the NAACP and all the other black racist movements, communists, punks and agents, to get control of The Revolution in the U. S.

The U. S. Revolution has been officially proclaimed and notoriously escalated and supported by the press.

In summation — we have:

Escalation of liberal politics, campaigns and campaign issues into such absurdities, distortions and falsehoods that anyone venturing right of left is destroyed.

Escalating warfare in Viet Nam for liberal political purposes — with controls (Continued on Page 4)